

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,523	08/25/2003	Naiyong Jing	56210US004	2281
32692	1590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVA PO BOX 3342	TIVE PROPERTIES	ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E		
	N 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1773	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/647,523	JING ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a simely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. $igotimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and wor appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:		Ramsey Zacha Primary Exami	ner
		Tech Center 17	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation that the fluoropolymer is "directly" bonded to the surface of the substrate represents a new issue that would require further search and consideration. As such, the proposed amendment does not materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are directed to limitations in the proposed amendment that will not be entered.